1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Robert S. Apgood CarpeLaw PLLC 2400 NW 80 th Street #130 Seattle, WA 98117 Telephone: 206-624-2379 Facsimile: 206-784-6305 rob@carpelaw.com John Jeffrey Carter 329 Flume Street Chico, CA 95927-3606 Telephone: 530-342-6196 Facsimile: 530-342-6195 Attorneys for Defendant Ada Mae Woffinden nee Journal of the street of the	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	VIOLET BLUE, an individual, Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant, vs. ADA MAE JOHNSON et al., Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff.	Case No.: C 07-5370 MJJ [PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS TO STRIKE AND ORDERING DEFENDANT TO SUBMIT A BILL OF COSTS RELATED TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION Hon. Martin J. Jenkins Courtroom 11, 19 th Floor 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102 Hearing Date: March 04, 2008 Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

Plaintiff Violet Blue's Motion For Sanctions came on regularly before this Court on March 04, 2008. In her opposition to Plaintiff's motion, Defendant Ada Mae (Woffinden) Johnson lodged her motions to strike, a motion sanctioning Plaintiff's counsel and a motion for this Court to find Plaintiff's motion frivolous and ordering her to submit a Bill of Costs and Fees suffered as a result of Plaintiff's motion. The Court considered the pleadings of the parties, the argument presented by counsel, and the papers and file of this case and, for the reasons discussed below, DENIES Plaintiff's motion and GRANTS Defendant's motion.

In Plaintiff's Motion, Plaintiff argues that Defendant's refusal to consent to Plaintiff's amendment to the Complaint on the grounds that Defendant was going to oppose the amendment, and subsequent filing of a Notice of Non-opposition amounts to an improper delay tactic. Defendant's counsel avers that Defendant did not act as she did as an improper delay tactic. Defendant argues that Plaintiff's allegations are legal conclusions for which Plaintiff provides no foundation, either by the offer of tangible evidence, or by declaration. The Court agrees. Defendant has moved to strike all references in Plaintiff's Motion that state that Defendant's filing of a Notice of Non-opposition is an improper delay tactic. Defendant's motion is granted and all references in Plaintiff's motion that Defendant's filing of a Notice of Non-opposition is an improper delay tactic are hereby ordered stricken from Plaintiff's motion.

In Plaintiff's Motion, Plaintiff argues that Defendant's Notice of Non-opposition was filed in bad faith. Defendant argues that Plaintiff's allegations are legal conclusions for which Plaintiff provides no foundation, either by the offer of tangible evidence, or by declaration. The Court agrees. Defendant has moved to strike all references in Plaintiff's Motion that state that Defendant's filing of a Notice of Non-opposition was done in bad faith. Defendant's motion is granted and all references in Plaintiff's motion that Defendant's Notice of Non-opposition was filed in bad faith are hereby ordered stricken from Plaintiff's motion.

In Plaintiff's Motion, Plaintiff argues that Defendant's filing her Notice of Non-opposition was gamesmanship. Defendant argues that Plaintiff's allegations are legal conclusions for which Plaintiff

provides no foundation, either by the offer of tangible evidence, or by declaration. The Court agrees. Defendant has moved to strike all references in Plaintiff's Motion that state that Defendant's filing of her Notice of Non-opposition was gamesmanship. Defendant's motion is granted and all references in Plaintiff's motion that Defendant's filing of her Notice of Non-opposition was gamesmanship are hereby ordered stricken from Plaintiff's motion.

In Plaintiff's Motion, Plaintiff argues that sanctions should be imposed on Defendant's counsel under 28 U.S.C. § 1927 for his refusal to consent to Plaintiff's amended complaint. In support of her argument, Plaintiff misstates the plain language of Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2). Defendant argues that Plaintiff's allegations are legal conclusions for which Plaintiff provides no foundation, either by the offer of tangible evidence, or by declaration. The Court agrees. Defendant has moved to strike all references in Plaintiff's Motion that state that Defendant's counsel's refusal to consent to Plaintiff's amended complaint violates Fed. R. Civ. P. 15 and that, as a result, Defendant's counsel is liable for sanctions under 28 U.S.C. § 1927. Defendant's motion is granted and all references in Plaintiff's motion that state that Defendant's counsel's refusal to consent to Plaintiff's amended complaint violates Fed. R. Civ. P. 15 and that, as a result, Defendant's counsel is liable for sanctions under 28 U.S.C. § 1927 are hereby ordered sticken from Plaintiff's motion.

In Plaintiff's Motion, Plaintiff argues that in refusing consent to agree to Plaintiff's filing of her amended complaint, Defendant's counsel acted with knowing and reckless disregard for Fed R. Civ. P.

1. Defendant argues that Plaintiff's allegations are legal conclusions for which Plaintiff provides no foundation, either by the offer of tangible evidence, or by declaration. The Court agrees. Defendant has moved to strike all references in Plaintiff's Motion that Defendant's counsel's refusal to consent to Plaintiff's amended complaint was a knowing and reckless disregard for Fed. R. Civ. P. 1.

Defendant's motion is granted and all references in Plaintiff's motion that Defendant's counsel acted with knowing and reckless disregard for Fed R. Civ. P. 1 are hereby ordered stricken from Plaintiff's motion.

In Plaintiff's Motion, Plaintiff argues that Defendant's counsel acted in bad faith by

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

withholding consent to allow the filing of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and subsequently submitting Defendant's Notice of Non-opposition, all for the purpose of harassing Plaintiff by delaying litigation and increasing costs. *Defendant argues that* Plaintiff's allegations are legal conclusions for which Plaintiff provides no foundation, either by the offer of tangible evidence, or by declaration. The Court agrees. Defendant has moved to strike all references in Plaintiff's Motion that Defendant's counsel acted in bad faith by withholding consent to allow the filing of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and subsequently submitting Defendant's Notice of Non-opposition, all for the purpose of harassing Plaintiff by delaying litigation and increasing costs. Defendant's motion is granted and all references in Plaintiff's motion that Defendant's counsel acted in bad faith by withholding consent to allow the filing of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and subsequently submitting Defendant's Notice of Non-opposition, all for the purpose of harassing Plaintiff by delaying litigation and increasing costs are hereby ordered stricken from Plaintiff's motion.

Defendant has moved the Court to find that it is Plaintiff's Motion For Sanctions that has actually unreasonably and vexatiously multiplied the proceedings in this matter, and to issue an Order appropriately sanctioning Plaintiff's counsel for her participation in the unreasonable and vexatious multiplication of the proceedings, including such monetary sanctions as the Court deems just, to be paid to a charitable organization of the Court's choosing. Defendant's motion is granted and, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1927, Plaintiff's counsel is hereby ordered to pay \$_____ as sanctions for her participation, said amount to be paid to that charitable organization known as

Defendant Ada Mae Johnson has also moved this Court to find that Plaintiff's Motion For Sanctions is frivolous, and to issue an Order directing Defendant to submit to the Court a Bill of Costs and Fees suffered in defending this Motion for consideration by this Honorable Court for an award thereon. Defendant's motion is granted, the Court finds that Plaintiff's motion is frivolous and Defendant's counsel is hereby ordered to submit a Bill of Costs and Fees suffered by Defendant in having to respond to Plaintiff's motion to the Court for consideration of an award thereon.

1	Defendant's pleading shall be submitted for consideration no later than ten (10) judicial days following		
2	the entry of this order.		
3	Having now ordered the above material stricken from Plaintiff's motion, the Court finds that		
4	Plaintiff's motion is unsubstantiated by factual support, and fails to state a basis upon which the Court		
5	can award the sanctions sought by the Plaintiff. Plaintiff's Motion For Sanctions is therefore denied.		
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
7	DONE IN OPEN COURT THIS day of 2008.		
8			
9			
10	Hon. Martin J. Jenkins		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION AND GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS - 5

Case No. C 07-5370 MJJ